1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

381

380 attorney produced to us. So in other words, it's a resume you gave to him, and he then produced to us, if that helps you orient which one this is. You'll notice that this resume at the top has Tyco Electronics on it as a past -- or a present place of employment. That may help you orient yourself as to when this resume was created.

Let me ask you what computer did you use to create this resume?

- 10 A This would have had to have been the Tyco computer. Because at the time I provided this to my attorney, I 111 12 didn't own that laptop at that time or my Toshiba.
- 13 Q So you did not print this out from a computer when you produced it to your attorney?
- 15 A No, I did not. I may have had it in one of my file folders at work and I -- he asked for a resume. And I 116 grabbed a resume, and I provided it to him. I didn't 17 18 print it out.
- 19 Q So we will not find a copy of this on your current 20 Toshiba laptop? 21

MR. CAHILLANE: Objection. You're obviously -- now you're asking him the contents of what's on his present computer. That's definitely not within the scope of the order.

MS. MARTIN: Are you instructing him not to answer?

MR. CAHILLANE: On that I will.

Q So let's go on to the next one. Now I'm going to show you what's been marked before as Exhibit No. 9. Take your time and take a look at it.

(Witness peruses the document.)

- Q I'm going to represent to you that this is a document that we received through your attorney during the last round of depositions. On the front page it says, to Leslie Locke from Jim Plasse, and then there's a note in the corner that says, faxed 7/26/00. What computer, if any, is this information stored on?
- 14 A This would have had to have been back when I was at 15 **Tubed Products.**
- 16 Q So what does that mean? What computer does this 117 document come from?
- 18 A If I can recall, we had all Hewlett Packard I believe computers. They're all desktops. So it would have been 19 20 on a desktop computer that I was -- had in my office 21 when I was working for Tubed Products.
- 22 Q So on your -- again, you did not print this document out from any computer that you currently own or use? 23
- 24 A No, I did not.

Q Now, this next one is a thick one. So it's Exhibit No. 2

15. Now, actually what I'd like to do with this one is turn to a specific set of pages. The last time this

- 3 exhibit was used the court reporter numbered each of the 4
- 5 pages. You'll see that at the bottom. And if you can 6
  - turn to Page 44?
- 7 Α 15-44?

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

381

Q That's right. And then look at 44, 45 and 46. Those are the three pages.

(Witness peruses the documents.)

Which computers or computer did you use to create these 11 0 12 documents?

MR. CAHILLANE: Objection. I'm going to instruct him not to answer. This is just another back-door way of trying to repeat the prior deposition which he was extensively questioned about these documents. This is not a question about what computers did you own, have access to. It's a question about what do you do on those computers, and did you do this or that. Since we actually, it's clear from the prior depositions, don't even know the origin of these documents, the question additionally is a when-did-you-stop-beating-your-wife-type question. But the sole purpose of the deposition is to identify

383

computers and media storage devices that Mr. P asse had control of and access to. And you can pick the relevant time period. But it doesn't allow you to do anyth ng else. And we've had two days of deposition on these documents.

MS. MARTIN: We're very close to the end. This is all I'm asking, again, like with all of the other documents, and this is the last set I'm asking, which computers did you use to create these documents.

MS. MARTIN: Now I think that's a perfeatly reasonable question as a way to identify which are the relevant computers that need to be examined.

MR. CAHILLANE: But the back-door question here is whether or not he created this particular document in the form that it's in. And there's really no reason in terms of the Court's order for you to talk about particular documents and their content. You can ask him what computers he had control and access of during the time period that these documents are clated.

MR. CLEMENTS: I want to interject. We have very serious disagreement about the scope of the order. And why don't we take a break and go off the record and decide what to do about it. Because this deposition is not going to be completed, and we're going to end up